UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,142	03/11/2004	Kunihiro Iwatsuki	250236US2	6150
22850 7590 04/21/2009 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE ST	REET	JOHNSON, VICKY A		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3656		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/21/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/797,142		IWATSUKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	

	Vicky A. Johnson	3656							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 30 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO									
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i. on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount on ortened statutory period for reply origin	36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as						
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compli filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>									
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying ti	ne issues for						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a ∞	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.							
NOTE: <i>The amendments to claims 1 and 20 chang consideration</i> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	e the scope of the claims and ther	efore require further s	earch and						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):									
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allownon-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e:	xplanation of						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	rercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:						
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (Fig. 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)								
	/Vicky A. Johnson/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3656							